



**STATE ATTORNEY**  
 ELEVENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT OF FLORIDA  
 E. R. GRAHAM BUILDING  
 1350 N.W. 12TH AVENUE  
 MIAMI, FLORIDA 33136-2111

**KATHERINE FERNANDEZ RUNDLE**  
 STATE ATTORNEY


TELEPHONE (305) 547-0100

**Exemptions from Public Records Disclosure**

- 911 Caller Identifying Information - §365.171
- Criminal History Data - §943.0525, CFR 28.2033
- Driver's License Numbers - §119.0712
- Social Security Numbers - §119.071(5)(a)5
- Driver's License Photos and Signature - §322.142
- Bank Account, Debit, Charge and Credit Card Numbers – §119.071(5)(b),655.059, 215.322, 655.057, 338.155. 624.23
- Insurance Policy Numbers - §324.242
- Biometric ID Information - §119.071(5)(g)1
- Medical Records - §395.3025, 456.057
- Mental Health Records - §394.4615, 456.057
- Psychological Evaluations - §916.1065
- Confidential Informants - §119.071(2)(f)
- Victims of Aggravated Battery, Stalking, Child Abuse, Sexual Battery or Domestic Violence - §119.071(2)(j)1

- Grand Jury Names/Information/Memorandum - §905.24
- Juvenile Records - §985.04
- School Records - §1002.221(1)
- Taxpayer Information - §213.015(9), 192.0105(4)
- Minor Victims of Domestic Violence - §787.06(6)
- Victims of Sexual Battery - §119.071(2)(h)1
- DNA - §943.325(14), 760.40(2)(a)
- Active Criminal Investigation/prosecution Materials - §119.71(2)(c)
- Expunged and/or Sealed Criminal Records - §943.059 943.058
- Pre-sentence investigation - §960.001(1)(g)2
- Child Support Enforcement Records- §409.2579, 61.1827
- Home Address, etc. of Current and Former ASA, CSE Employees, Law Enforcement, Judges, Firefighters and Code Inspectors - §119.071(4)(d)

- Crash Reports personal Information §316.066(2)(a)
- Traffic Citation personal Information §316.650(11)(a)
- Autopsy Photographs and Video §406.135
- E.M.S Reports - §395.51
- DCF - §125.901(11)
- Audio & Video depicting a killing Mass Violence - §119.071(2)
- Death Certificate - §119.071(5)(a)5 382.008(6)
- Work Product- §119.071(1)(d)1; City of Orlando V. Desjardins, 493 So.2s 1027 (Fla. 1986)
- Other \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_

DATE OPENED	DATE CLOSED	COURT CASE #	CLOSED STATUS	APPROVED BY
9/17/26	5/6/2026			

**SEXUAL BATTERY/CHILD ABUSE CLOSEOUT MEMO**

**A.S.A.:** Natalie Snyder

**POLICE DPT & CASE NO:** 2509170057922

**OFFICER:** J. Diaz

**OFFICER'S ID NO:** 001-44228

**DCF INVESTIGATOR:**

**DCF CASE NO:**

SUBJECT/RELATIONSHIP	D.O.B./AGE	RACE	SEX
UNKNOWN			MALE
			MALE

VICTIM	D.O.B./AGE	RACE	SEX
W [REDACTED], M [REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	BLACK	FEMALE
			MALE

*Has the Children's Center been contacted/involved?*       Yes       No

**It is the opinion of this Assistant State Attorney that no criminal charges be filed in this case for the following reasons:**

On September 17, 2025, the victim went to the rape treatment center and reported that she believed she had been raped while under anesthesia at Vixen Plastic Surgery. According to the victim, the procedure took place the day before. When the victim woke up from the procedure, she noted severe pain in her throat, vagina, and anus. The victim also indicated that she was bleeding from her vagina and anus and explained that she sustained a laceration to her tonsils. The victim was unconscious during the procedure and could not definitively say what occurred to cause the injuries. The victim could also not say who caused the injuries, but suspected the doctor who performed the surgery raped her.

The victim was examined at the rape treatment center. The victim told the sexual assault nurse that she did not remember any events that occurred because she was under anesthesia. During the examination itself, the nurse noted injuries consistent with the surgery, including injuries to the victim's mouth and throat consistent with intubation. The victim's speculum exam noted tenderness to the area, but did not have any other injuries. A rape kit was collected by the sexual assault nurse.

The subject and other medical practice personnel retained legal counsel and did not give any statements to police. There were no other witnesses, and there is no surveillance video inside of the medical practice.

In all criminal cases, the State must prove (1) that a crime was committed, and (2) that the defendant is the person who committed the crime. The State must prove both beyond and to the exclusion of every reasonable doubt. After review of the evidence and circumstances in this case, the State cannot prove that the victim was penetrated or who may have penetrated her. While the victim may suspect she was penetrated by the



Please Recycle

doctor who performed her surgery, suspicion alone is not enough to prove the case in court. In determining whether the State could meet its burden of proof, the State considered the following:

1. The victim is not able to testify regarding what occurred. While the victim feels like she was penetrated, her testimony regarding exactly how and who penetrated her would be legally necessary to prove the case in court.
2. According to the Miami Dade Sherriff's Office Crime Laboratory Analysis Report, the victim's rape kit was submitted for DNA testing. Initial screening of the cervical swabs were positive for semen; however, when the swabs were forwarded for full DNA analysis, there was insufficient foreign DNA to analyze further under current scientific standards. To confirm this result, the Miami Police Department sent the rape kit to DNA Labs International, a private laboratory. DNA Labs International independently tested the contents of the rape kit for foreign DNA, including the cervical swabs, and confirmed that no male DNA was present in the cervical swabs or on any other swabs included in the rape kit.

This ASA spoke to the Miami Dade Sherriff's Office crime laboratory regarding the inconsistency between the initial serological screening and the later DNA analysis. Analysts explained that the initial screening of evidence for DNA can result in a false positive for the presence of semen. In other words, other fluids, including vaginal secretions or female urine, can create a result that reads that an item is positive for semen when it is not. For this reason, all items that test positive must be forwarded for full DNA testing and analysis. As previously stated, the results of the DNA testing in both labs indicated there was no male DNA on the cervical swabs.

3. Detectives spoke to nurses at the rape treatment center about the injuries they noted during the victim's rape treatment center examination. The injuries sustained by the victim are consistent with her surgery. There are no injuries that, by themselves and without other testimony, would prove the elements of the crime beyond a reasonable doubt.
4. There were no witnesses and no surveillance video showing what did or did not occur during the time the victim was under anesthesia.
5. Vixen Plastic Surgery provided records to law enforcement pursuant to a subpoena. The records showed four medical professionals had access to the victim during her procedure, two of whom were males.

In light of the above facts and circumstances, the State is unable to prove the case beyond and to the exclusion of a reasonable doubt.



Please Recycle