

MIAMI-DADE COUNTY COMMISSION ON ETHICS & PUBLIC TRUST



LEGAL SUFFICIENCY MEMORANDUM

TO: MIAMI DADE COMMISSION ON ETHICS AND PUBLIC TRUST

FROM: MARTHA D. PEREZ, GENERAL COUNSEL

RE: COMPLAINT C 21-12-05 (*In re* Eliana Salzhauer)

DATE: JULY 14, 2021

Recommendation:

This complaint is **Not Legally Sufficient** and should be **Dismissed** pursuant to Article LXXVIII, Section 2-1074 and Rule 4.2(a), COE Rules of Procedure.

Jurisdiction:

The COE has jurisdiction pursuant to Section 2-1068 of the Code of Miami-Dade County and Section 2-11.1(y) of Miami-Dade Conflict of Interest and Code of Ethics.

Legal sufficiency exists where there is an allegation of a violation of an ordinance within the jurisdiction of the Ethics Commission, purportedly committed by an individual within the authority of the Ethics Commission, based substantially on the personal knowledge of the Complainant and filed with the Ethics Commission within three years of the alleged violation.

Background:

Complainant, Charles Burkett (Burkett), Mayor of the Town of Surfside (Surfside), filed this complaint on May 18, 2021, against Eliana Salzhauer (Salzhauer), Commissioner of Surfside, alleging that Salzhauer violated Section 2 of the Citizen's Bill of Rights (CBR) entitled, *Truth in Government*, as a result of a posting on the Nextdoor neighborhood social app where she comments on the Mayor's credibility.

Complainant Burkett alleges that Salzhauer's posting on the social/neighbor app Nextdoor, is a *knowingly* false statement made by a public official about a public matter. Specifically, Barkett

contends that by commenting on in April 2021, on Nextdoor,¹ about the Mayor's monthly column in the Town newsletter (Town Gazette) where Salzhauer states that, the "*column has always been a work of self-serving fiction [and] his liberties with the truth are why he is no longer the sole voice on the cover and why there is now a disclaimer at the bottom...*," she, in her position as a municipal official, has knowingly furnished false information on a public matter in violation of Section 2 of the CBR.

The exhibit attached to the complaint in support of the allegation is incomplete (no date, no pre- or post-comments). Further inquiry revealed a more complete and more detailed excerpt of the posts preceding and following Salzhauer's comment which reveal different opinions from other Town residents on the issue of Complainant Burkett's honesty and veracity. See COE Exhibit 1 attached to this Memorandum. Neither Salzhauer nor the residents identify Salzhauer as a Town Commissioner.²

Analysis:

In order for this complaint to withstand legal sufficiency, it must include sufficient adequate facts to support a violation of the County Ethics Code or an ordinance within the jurisdiction of the Commission on Ethics and Public Trust (COE).

The Complainant alleges that a social media app comment made by a resident, who also happens to be a commissioner, is a statement: (1) made by a public official in his or her capacity; (2) who knowingly furnished false information; (3) about a public matter within the jurisdiction of the Town government.³ See Section 2 of the Citizen's Bill of Rights, Truth in Government

¹ Nextdoor is a social media app "where communities come together to greet newcomers, exchange recommendations, and read the latest local news. Where neighbors support local businesses and get updates from public agencies. Where neighbors borrow tools and sell couches. It's how to get the most out of everything nearby. <https://nextdoor.com/>

² It is a matter of common knowledge that the Complainant and the Respondent in this case have had a contentious relationship for over a decade. Their exchanges have resulted in continuous disagreements, insults and a lack of decorum exhibited at several commission meetings.

In essence, the complete thread of comments shows Robert Lisman, another resident, reacting to the Mayor's April 2021 Gazette column.

³ A statement within the context of a "public matter" must be material, i.e., capable of affecting an official decision on the Town.

The Nextdoor post authored by Salzhauer reflects the *opinion*⁴ of a private citizen and resident of Surfside and is thus, insufficient to raise a violation of an ordinance within the jurisdiction of the Commission on Ethics and Public Trust.⁵ See C 20-17 (A City Attorney's response to the Mayor arising out of public comment was legal opinion of the City's advisor, not a knowing false statement about a public matter, as required under the CBR); See also C 20-25 (Notwithstanding that the respondent is a Village official, posts on the social media app Nextdoor reflect the opinions of a resident of the Village, surrounding a public event taking place in the community and are thus, insufficient to allege a violation of the Ethics Code)

Stating that an elected official's "liberties with the truth" is the reason that the official is no longer the only official who writes on the Town's newsletter and why there is a disclaimer attached to the officials' comments, is what the author of the post (Salzhauer) perceives as the reason that the Town Gazette changed format. Such insinuation can be characterized as an insult, an opinion or hyperbole, all of which are protected by the First Amendment.⁶

Complainant's reasoning for making this allegation against Salzhauer is puzzling. Complainant charges that Salzhauer's comment is false and worthy of a CBR violation as shown by her own contradictions. In other words, by saying that his Gazette column was a work of "*self-serving fiction*," and then saying that, "reading the Gazette should not be a guessing game of 2 truths and 3 lies," Salzhauer is admitting that her statement is *knowingly false* because Burkett actually said, "2 truths." This argument is void of any legal sufficiency; Complainant Burkett rather misapplies some words by giving them their literal meaning and taking them out of context.

Notwithstanding, when a person becomes an elected official, they do not give up their individual constitutional rights. See C 14-34 (Elected officials enjoy a right to free speech as any other citizen. "The role that elected officials play in our society makes it all the more imperative that they be allowed to freely express themselves..." Wood v. Georgia, 370 U.S. 375 (1962))

⁴ An opinion is a view, judgment, or appraisal formed in the mind about a particular matter; a belief stronger than impression and less strong than positive knowledge. <https://www.merriam-webster.com/dictionary/opinion>.

⁵ Nextdoor members are more likely to be exposed to people with differing viewpoints. <https://blog.nextdoor.com/2019/11/11/why-we-dont-allow-political-advertising-on-nextdoor/>

⁶ By way of background, at the Commission meeting held on August 11, 2020, the commissioners voted to return the Gazette to the old format with the Town Manager's Message on the front cover page and provide equal space inside the newsletter for the Mayor's and Commissioners' comments and opinions. During that meeting, Complainant Burkett stated, "Everybody should be able to put their opinion [in the Gazette]" "Put your opinion there. You're welcome to write on the Gazette what you think happened."

Consequently, there is no legal basis to conclude that Salzhauer's personal comment as a resident of the Town on a social neighborhood app, was made by Salzhauer in her official capacity and that it was knowingly intended to convey a falsity about a public (official government) matter.⁷

Conclusion:

Because the allegation raised by the Complainant against Commissioner Salzhauer does not rise to a violation of the County Ethics Code, there is **No Legal Sufficiency** for an investigation to be conducted into this complaint and the complaint should be **Dismissed**.

Attachments (ATT): Nextdoor comments: April 4, 2021


⁷ Ms. Salzhauer's comment is clearly less accusatorial than Neighbor Robert Lisman's comments: "Still, after this month's Gazette, I now realize that if we accept these *lies*, and do not correct his [Burkett's] *false account of history*... "to break down just a tiny piece of the *false narrative/lies* that he is attempting to spread, let's fact-check his "Mayor's Message" released in April's edition..." (4/4/2021 @ nextdoor.com); or Neighbor Fernanda Jansen's comment about Burkett: "he is a bad mouthing and *lying* about other commissioners and his accomplishments...Burkett is a *LLAR!*" (6/17/2021 @ nextdoor.com)

Sequence of comments pre and post Salzhauser's comment (subject of C-21-12-05)

ATT.1


Robert Lisman

Town of Surfside • 4 Apr



MESSAGES FROM THE TOWN OF

MAYOR CHARLES W. BURKETT



Greetings All,
Everyone expects results and progress from the Mayor, so I present some of our victories, so far: I wrote/put forward three critical, successful ballot questions which residents approved; got our landscaping contract rewritten saving hundreds of thousands; confronted speeders; triggered a new police radar detail; cancelled the consultant million-dollar gravy-train; hired a great Town Manager; green-lighted the 96th Street Park design; introduced and passed closing Bay/Byron at 96th Street; repealed the hyper-permissive zoning code; introduced and passed no-hassle, zero-charge parking for every resident's car; saved \$1M in non-police expenditures; appointed concerned residents to our boards; completed a total renovation of our pool; introduced and will implement, real flooding solutions; brought us police body cameras; introduced and passed the 'stop-the-beach-chair-commercialization of our beaches'; organized Covid mask distribution; introduced beach-dune beautification; installed beautiful new beach access walkways and conducted meetings that end on time and allow maximum participation.

Frustratingly, my following proposals have been defeated.

- I proposed to radically reduce water bills and modestly reduce our 'highest in the area' property taxes.
- I proposed term limits.
- I proposed no pensions, no insurance benefits and no other goodies for politicians.
- I proposed to write into our Charter real protections against the Sunny Isles-ification of Surfside.
- I proposed immediately moving ahead with painting 'safe walking paths' throughout our Town.

The good news is, I expect the closer we get to the next election, the more 'thoughtful' politicians who stood against these common-sense proposals will become. Lastly, many thanks to Andy Hyatt, Jason Greene, Julio Yero, Lily Arango, Sandra McCready, Randy Stokes and Tim Milian who lead our 'slice of paradise' with expertise and caring. It shows! More of my thoughts at www.surfside2020.com.

Surfside's residents have had enough. Neighbors, as Surfside residents, I believe we must hold our elected officials accountable, thereby ensuring that Truth in Government / Citizens Bill of Rights laws are NOT so brutally disregarded.

Yesterday, I received in the mail our monthly Town Gazette, a newsletter meant to inform residents regarding upcoming town events. I used to look forward to receiving this publication, but these days I find myself hoping it gets lost in the mail...Hoping that USPS would be doing me a favor by helping me avoid that sad feeling that I get watching every core value that I hold dear, attacked right in front of my eyes by our own Mayor of Surfside, Charles Burkett.

Every month, I've tried to downplay his disturbing behavior to myself, hoping it was a one-time lapse of sanity & leadership.

Still, after this month's Gazette, I now realize that if we accept these lies, and do not correct his false account of history, then we are all facing a future where elected officials will feel emboldened by these dangerous and unethical precedents.

The Gazette is meant to communicate important issues to residents in a truthful manner. Instead, the Mayor continues to misuse about 8,000\$ of our taxpayer funds per year [1] to push his false narrative and personal agenda, downplay his undermining of resident's rights, and undeservingly take credit for the improvements hard-won by other's efforts. His using the taxpayer-funded Gazette to repeatedly promote his campaign website is only the tip of his unethical iceberg.

Source: [1] <https://cdn.fsbx.com/v/t59.2708->

Next, he says that he “canceled the consultant million-dollar gravy-train”; when looking at the commission meeting minutes, we can see that commissioner Salzhauer made the first mention in any budget meeting regarding getting rid of the endless consultants at the May 5th, 2020 budget workshop; the minutes read “Commissioner Salzhauer commented on the organizational chart and would like to move away from the outsourcing, money being spent on consultants and have staffing from the Town do the work.”; once again, NOT Charles Burkett but rather another commissioner that set the train in motion.

After, he states that he “hired a great Town Manager”; as anyone who watched the town meetings can recall, he wanted to push Jason (our current finance director) into the role. He was forced to hire Andy Hyatt (the current town manager) when the rest of the commission wouldn’t let him put a current town staffer into a more senior role, where Charles Burkett then probably believed he would be able to bully him into doing his personal bidding.

Next, he states that he “green-lighted the 96th-street park design,”; but as anyone who has watched town meetings over the last few years can recall, the planning/setting money aside for park renovations has been underway for years. The prior commission set aside the money and moved this process forward; once again NOT done by Charles Burkett.

After, he states that he “introduced and passed closing Bay/Byron at 96th street”; once again, taking credit for the hard work of another resident’s research and rewriting history to leave out the critical meeting in which he was willing to sacrifice the peace and safety of Bay Drive to ONLY close Byron. A move that would have knowingly created a safety hazard for our children who use that path daily to walk to school.

Furthermore, he boasts about this “accomplishment” as if it were a “done deal “when he knows it isn’t. The closure of Byron/Bay MUST be approved by the County first, meaning it must first undergo expensive research studies to ensure that it meets certain car counts, etc., and presents enough of a safety issue to warrant a full closure. Any closure is wishful thinking and maybe years away from fruition.

Next, he claims to have “repealed the hyper-permissive zoning code.” While there is currently a zoning-in-progress in place, changes are being considered, but NO zoning code has been repealed, and it is not “hyper-permissive.” His non-stop slandering of the prior Mayor is delusional. The “hyper-permissive” zoning code that he keeps trying to attribute to the previous Mayor was actually implemented under Burkett’s Mayorship over a decade ago. The current zoning code has a few problematic loopholes that need to be closed, yet Burkett rejected ALL attempts by Vice Mayor Paul and Commissioner Salzhauer to do so.

Now, almost 10 months later (due to his unwillingness to make the simple changes), there is a considerable backlog of resident projects that need approval, chaos in the building department, and over \$100,000 spent by Burkett WITHOUT Commission approval on lawyer fees directed solely by him.

His next claim that he “introduced and passed no-hassle, zero-charge parking for every resident’s car” is pretty funny. When he got elected, he was SO out of touch with reality and daily life in a town that he had no idea that parking for residents was already a hassle-free and straightforward \$10 (and 70 cents) per year. This resident-friendly initiative was implemented by prior commissions. It was a nominal

fee that I’m told doesn’t even cover administrative costs and serves to help

Anyone who watched those painful Commission meetings witnessed what a fraud the Mayor truly is. They witnessed first hand how the Mayor took sensible beach chair limits proposed by Vice Mayor Paul and Commissioner Salzhauer and then gutted the sensible limits. He tripled the number of beach chairs allowed, attempted to introduce outright commercialization (cap and trade) by allowing buildings to sell chairs to each other, and tried to bring back OVERNIGHT beach chair storage- something that residents had already fought hard to prevent. His terrible proposal was accompanied by a powerpoint presentation that included "beach themed" / camouflaged overnight chair storage covers. It took the entire commission to defeat his proposed nonsense. Watch Cap and Trade video here: <https://youtu.be/b3psszEIMto>
Overnight storage here: <https://youtu.be/b3psszEIMto>

The fact that he attempts to take credit for "covid mask distribution" is laughable. In reality, Burkett spent nearly every meeting for a year spreading COVID conspiracy theories and undermining any attempts at sensible COVID regulations. He refused to support mask enforcement and downplayed the suffering and deaths of thousands. He pushed discredited studies and claimed that COVID was only a threat to the elderly and that "kids are almost immune." <https://youtu.be/X4-hfFgChWg> His going door-to-door MASKLESS during the height of the pandemic to personally spread his newsletter of lunacy was an event that every voter should certainly remember next March.

But perhaps the statement that sums up the height of his brazen lies is his fraudulent claim that he conducts meetings that "end on time and allow maximum participation." NO Mayor (that I know of) has done more to restrict public input and comment than Mayor Burkett. His control of the microphone and muting of any resident or Commissioner who disagrees with him is legendary.

He calls for last minute meetings with barely any public notice in order to evade public scrutiny. As mentioned, at the last meeting he unilaterally decided that residents only had ONE minute to comment. Our mayor should have more respect for resident input than what he demonstrates. This cannot be tolerated.

Left unchecked his leadership will quash all dissent and leave every resident without any meaningful opportunity to contribute or participate in town discussions and policy. His "frustrations" at his defeated proposals appear to also be deliberately misleading. Anyone who watched the Commission meetings in which these initiatives were defeated knows that they were rejected for important legitimate reasons...but most residents likely missed it. For example, we don't need an initiative to prevent salaries or benefits for Commissioner when it is ALREADY in the Town Code that they can only earn \$1 a year (PERIOD). We would need a ballot amendment to change that! Wasting taxpayer money to vote on something that is already in the code is ridiculous.

Similarly, his proposals to "reduce water bills" is also a ploy. It was an ethically dubious shell game in which he attempted to move money between town accounts to give the illusion that water bills would be reduced but would really just be a nominal one-time credit that deprives the town

Posted in General to 9 neighborhoods

Lorenzo Restivo • Town of Surfside

He is a clown

George Kousoulas • Town of Surfside

I'll comment on the zoning in particular. The entire commission came like a bat out of hell in April bashing the 2008/2020 code and driving out the town

manager, the town planner and her successor in short order. By late summer some of those same commissioners are distancing themselves from earlier positions. As to the 2008 code (the current code is a heavily modified version of 2008), yes it was approved under the previous Burkett commission (he expressively dissented). The 2008 code was created as a clean sheet code after two years of workshops, also under the Burkett commission. One mystery to me has been why a strong willed personality would let a code evolve and pass that he was adamantly opposed to? What changed? So everybody's fingerprints are all over 2008/20. Is it the permissive code some believe it to be? Depends on where you look. In the single family it is more restrictive. On the oceanfront it was more permissive but has been walked back through a series of amendments so they are very much the same. The Corridor it is more permissive. Most of the controversial planning and zoning issues stemming from the end of the last commission related to the permissive nature of the code.

Can the 2020 code be tweaked to fix whatever is wrong? No. It was tried once, twice, and thrice (aug/nov/mar) and is replete with errors, inconsistencies, and unintended consequences. The attempts were the result of commissioners cherry-picking pet peeves and trying to address them without any background in zoning. The current cobbled together zoning regime of three documents is a mess.

Furthermore, the 2020 code, while not being bad, is not a tight document either. There are more than a few wrinkles. A simple pressing will not do. It needs to be taken in and let out, go through a few fittings and it will be ready to wear.

Which brings up one last point, the two codes 2006 and 2020 are vastly different in organization and numeration. Merging them is not an easy task. You must start with the pattern of one or the other and re-tailor, using the best of both. 2006 was used as the pattern, but you will see many elements of 2020 in the finished suit.

For a year the planning function of the town has been a mess. This is because the current zoning code(s) is a mess. We got here because the whole commission pulled on threads that unraveled what we had. We are at a point where we can't go back but have to efficiently and methodically move forward, not according to any one commissioner's or the mayor's agenda. Unfortunately there is very little zoning expertise in the town and so the attacks on the code and the process are done without much basis.

Joshua Epstein • Town of Surfside

As always thank you for sharing your expertise! The main issue residents have expressed concern regarding is the progress by which the mayor exploited his "power" to force the town attorneys to work with him/solely with him on his dream zoning code thereby splurging \$100,000 on unapproved lawyer fees. The slander of the 2008 zoning code has stemmed solely from him

The commission members need to stop tolerating and enabling this behavior - and if leadership is not on the table, figure out how to work together for the residents benefit. (edited)

Eliana Salzhauer • Town of Surfside

The Mayor's monthly Gazette column has always been a work of self-serving fiction. His liberties with the truth are why he is no longer the sole voice on the cover and why there is now a disclaimer at the bottom. Reading the Gazette should not be a guessing game of 2 truths and 3 lies. Trying to keep his power plays in check has become a full-time distraction. We need constructive consensus to move forward. Resident participation at the next meeting is important. Thank you for your efforts.

Regan Kinzer • Town of Surfside

Mr. Lisman, you've hit the nail on the head!

William Blumenkranz • Town of Surfside

I couldn't agree with you more. Are you sure you didn't leave out anything?

Robert Lisman • Town of Surfside

There is more. Much more...

Jeffrey Platt • Town of Surfside

Pretty good, Bob.....

Karen Koffler • Town of Surfside

Thank you for sharing a remarkably thorough assessment. It is great to hear your perspective.

Robert Lisman • Town of Surfside

Thank you for reading it and your comment (edited)

Debby Cimadevilla • Town of Surfside

Mr. Lisman I will comment on the undergrounding of the utilities

I thought you were at the commission meeting when it was spoken of ? maybe you were not , but just so you know the facts regarding the underground of The powerlines and Utilities in the single-family residential area...

AT A COMMISSION MEETING WITH FPL PROFESSIONALS AND TOWN HIRED CONSULTANTS

the FPL representatives and the consultant that the town hired Stated the facts

regarding what Senator Pizzo spoke about at a prior commission meeting. I WILL POST IT when I have the time as it's a beautiful Sunday.

To listen to the FPL reps and Abbott consultants, to clarify the difference between the two programs the Overhead to underground program (which includes all lines Feeder and Lateral lines and all other utilities) Vs Storm protection plan (Which is what Senator Pizzo spoke about) where they place Feeder lines, which are a little over 50% of our lines , On concrete and stronger wood poles throughout the residential area and the lateral lines (which are less then 50% of our lines underground .

The storm protection plan doesn't not include all the other utilities which remain on poles. It is estimated that this hardening/lateral line program would take place from the year 2037 to 2047. Please listen to the video on town website regular commission meeting Tuesday March 9 2021 at 6:30 minutes into the meeting (edited)

Debby Cimadevilla • Town of Surfside

Here is the link to the March commission meeting go to about 6:30 seconds into the meeting to hear the full discussion <https://play.champds.com/surfsidefl/event/116> (edited)

Robert Lisman • Town of Surfside

Debby Cimadevilla thank you for you link. I prefer my YouTube link as it invites comments and has chapter markers on key events. Also, please consider trying to keep your comments in the same comment thread. It makes it easier to have a productive conversation. 17:13 Mayor Burkett asks Christopher Ferreira from FPL: "Are Surfside Residents paying anything into the clause or the recapture fund?" Christopher from FPL CONFIRMS that "all FPL customers pay into the clause and into the cost for hardening." <https://youtu.be/bkCvciBOqkE?t=1033> (edited)

Robert Lisman • Town of Surfside

Maybe there is no need to rush into undergrounding and spending millions more than we have to? Ray Lazano from FPL discusses objective criteria in deciding who gets undergrounded first. He adds they look at outage experiences that occurred during Mathew and Irma, total number of vegetative outage in the last 10 years – and lateral and transport outage in the last 10 years..." Mayor Burkett asks Ray Lazano from FPL, "So presumably Surfside has been less impacted as the other areas." Ray Lazano replies, "yes, that is correct." <https://youtu.be/bkCvciBOqkE?t=1908> (edited)

Robert Lisman • Town of Surfside

Undergrounding technology will evolve in the next decade as well.

Town of Surfside • 4 Apr

11 Neighbors 54 Comments

Like Comment Share

4 Apr Like Reply Share 3

5 Apr Like Reply Share 6

5 Apr Like Reply Share 1

5 Apr Like Reply Share 4



MIAMI-DADE COMMISSION ON ETHICS & PUBLIC TRUST COMPLAINT FORM

1. **COMPLAINANT** (Person bringing complaint)

Name: Charles W. Burkett
Address: 1332 Biscaya Drive City: Surfside Zip Code 33154
Contact No.'s: *Specify Home, Work &/or Cell* 305-992-0102 cell
E-mail: charles@burkettcompanies.com

2. **RESPONDENT** (Person against whom complaint is made) *Add pages, if necessary*

Name: Eliana R. Salzhauer
Address: 9317 Bay Drive City Surfside Zip Code 33154
Contact No(s): *Specify Work &/or Cell* 917-952-7145
Title/Office Held or Sought: Currently a Commissioner in the Town of Surfside

Allegation refers to: Person in County Gov't. Person in municipal Gov't. (Specify city) Town of Surfside
 Elections Ethical Campaign Ordinance (\$301.00 required for expedited process. Only accept check, credit card or money order)
 Employee Protection Ordinance (Retaliation complaint) County's Citizens' Bill of Rights
 Other (Specify)

Note: The Ethics Commission does not have jurisdiction over Florida Statutes, State or Federal officials, Judges or the Miami- Dade County School Board.

4. **STATEMENT OF FACTS BASED ON PERSONAL KNOWLEDGE.** In a separate attachment, please describe in detail the facts and actions that form the basis of your complaint, including dates when the action(s) occurred. Also, attach any relevant documents as well as names and contact information of witnesses or other persons who may have knowledge about the actions. If known, indicate the section of the ordinance you believe is being violated. Please refer to the Instructions attached to this Complaint Form for further assistance.

5. **OATH:**

I, the person bringing this complain, do depose on oath or affirmation and say that the facts set Sworn to (or affirmed) and subscribed before me forth in the foregoing complaint & attachments are true and correct, to the best of my knowledge and belief.

STATE OF FLORIDA
COUNTY OF Miami - Dade
Sworn to (or affirmed) and subscribed before me
this 17 day of may, 2021

By Charles Burkett
Name of person making statement

[Signature]
Signature of Notary Public, State of Florida

Print, Type or Stamp Commissioned Name of Notary Public
Personally known to me or produced identification
Type of identification produced: _____

[Signature]
Signature of Complainant



hibit A



General

like proposing a tram to take people from and to the 94th street parking lot like an amusement park. While everyone is thinking walkabil... See more

18h Like Reply Share 2



Dr Alicia Aron · Town of Surfside ...

The Gazette should not be used to promote the political agenda of commission members. It is an improper and unethical use of town resources and tax payer dollars. See more

20h Like Reply Share 4



Eliana Salzhauer · Town of Surfside ...

The Mayor's monthly Gazette column has always been a work of self-serving fiction. His liberties with the truth are why he is no longer the sole voice on the cover and why there is now a disclaimer at the bottom. Reading the Gazette should not be a guessing game of 2 truths and 3 lies. Trying to keep his power plays in check has become a full-time distraction. We need constructive consensus to move forward. Resident participation at the next meeting is important. Thank you for your efforts.

20h Like Reply Share 3



Regan Kinzer · Town of Surfside ...
Mr. Lisman, you've hit the nail on the head!



Comment



Home



Groups



For Sale



Notifications



More