UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

ANDRES DUQUE, on his own behalf and or
behalf of others similarly situated,

CASE NO:

Plaintiff,

COLLECTIVE ACTION COMPLAINT

VS.

MICHAELS GENUINE HOSPITALITY, LLC, a Florida for-profit corporation, MICHAEL SCHWARTZ, an individual, and CHARLES BELL, an individual,

Defendants.	
	/

COLLECTIVE ACTION COMPLAINT

COMES NOW Plaintiff ANDRES DUQUE, on his own behalf and on behalf of those similarly situated ("Plaintiff"), who was an employee of Defendants MICHAELS GENUINE HOSPITALITY, LLC, a Florida for-profit corporation, MICHAEL SCHWARTZ, an individual, and CHARLES BELL, an individual, (together, "Defendants"), and files this Collective Action Complaint for unpaid minimum wage compensation, unpaid overtime wage compensation, reimbursement for tips illegally taken, liquidated damages, and other relief under the Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938, 29 U.S.C. § 201, et seq. (hereinafter, the "Act" or "FLSA") and declaration of rights.

INTRODUCTION

The FLSA was passed by Congress in 1938. The principal congressional purpose in enacting the FLSA was to protect all covered workers from substandard wages and oppressive working hours, labor conditions that are detrimental to maintenance of minimum standards of

living necessary for health, efficiency, and general well-being of workers. *Barrentine* v. *Arkansas-Best Freight System, Inc.*, 450 U.S. 728, 739 (1981). The liquidated damages provision of the FLSA constitutes a congressional recognition that failure to pay the statutory minimum, on time, may be so detrimental to maintenance of minimum standard of living necessary for health, efficiency, and general well-being of workers and to the free flow of commerce, that double payment must be made, in event of delay, in order to insure restoration of worker to that minimum standard of well-being. *Brooklyn v. Sav. Bank v. O'Neil*, 324 U.S. 697, 707-08 (1945).

I. NATURE OF THE CASE

- 1. The Florida Constitution, Article X, Section 24(a) ("the Amendment") provides that "[a]ll working Floridians are entitled to be paid a minimum wage that is sufficient to provide a decent and healthy life for them and their families." *See* Fla. Const. Art. X sec. 24(1). In doing so, the Amendment permits employers to pay less than the Florida minimum wage to employees who receive tips *only if* the eligibility requirements for the tip credit under the Federal Fair Labor Standards Act ("FLSA") are met. *Id.* at § 24(c). If an employer satisfies the tip credit requirements, it may apply a portion of the employee's tips (up to a maximum of \$3.02 per hour) to satisfy its own minimum wage obligation. This portion is known as the "tip credit."
- 2. To utilize the tip credit under the FLSA, the employer must allow its tipped employees to retain all the tips they receive, except when there is a valid arrangement for "pooling of tips among employees who customarily and regularly receive tips." 29 U.S.C. § 203(m). If an employer fails to satisfy this requirement, it may not take advantage of the tip credit and must directly pay its tipped employees the full minimum wage.

- 3. To the best of Plaintiff's knowledge or belief, for at least the last three years pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 201, *et seq* (hereinafter, "the Relevant Time Period"), Defendants claimed the tip credit to pay servers, who served food and/or beverages to Defendants' customers, at an hourly wage rate that was less than the minimum regular and overtime wage required under Florida law even though those employees did not retain all of their tips because they were forced to pay a portion of their tips to non-tipped employees, were not given proper notice of how they were being paid as tipped employees, and were forced to pay impermissible business expenses such as uniforms with from their wages. By failing to satisfy the requirements of 29 U.S.C. § 203(m) during the Relevant Time Period, Defendants were not eligible to claim the tip credit and were required to pay their servers the full minimum and overtime wage required under Federal and Florida law.
- 4. Thus, this action is brought pursuant to the FLSA for unpaid minimum wage compensation, unpaid overtime wage compensation, reimbursement for tips illegally taken, liquidated damages, and other relief.
- 5. Pursuant to the FLSA, Plaintiff and the proposed collective action members were subjected to similar violations of the FLSA. The class of similarly situated employees or potential collective action members sought to be certified under 29 U.S.C. § 216(b) is defined as:

All persons who worked for Defendants as servers during the three (3) years preceding this lawsuit and who, as a result of Defendants' policy of requiring servers to share their tips with employees who do not customarily and regularly receive tips and/or to pay for impermissible business expenses and/or were paid less than the statutory minimum overtime wage for tipped employees, earned less than the applicable minimum regular and/or overtime wage for one or more weeks during the Relevant Time Period.

- 6. Additionally, Plaintiff and those similarly situated seeks a declaration of rights pursuant to Rule 57 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the Federal Declaratory Judgment Act ("DJA"), 28 U.S.C. § 2201.
- 7. The precise size and identity of the class can be ascertained from the business records, tax records, and/or employee or personnel records of Defendants and its related and affiliated entities.

II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 8. Venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2) because Defendants transact business in this District; because all wages were earned and due to be paid in this District; because Defendants' restaurant is situated in this District; and because most, if not all, of the operational decisions were made in this District.
- 9. This Court has original jurisdiction over Plaintiff's federal question claims.

III. PARTIES

- 10. Plaintiff ANDRES DUQUE ("Duque") is over 18 years old and was a *sui juris* resident of Miami-Dade County, Florida, at all times material. He was an hourly, non-exempt employee of Defendants, as the term "employee" is defined by 29 U.S.C. § 203(e). Plaintiff consents to participate in this lawsuit.
- 11. Plaintiff Duque and the proposed class members were subjected to similar violations of the FLSA for the three years preceding the filing of this lawsuit ("Relevant Time Period").
- 12. Defendant MICHAELS GENUINE HOSPITALITY, LLC is a Florida for-profit company that owns Michael's Genuine restaurant.
- 13. Defendant MICHAEL SCHWARTZ ("Schwartz"), an individual and *sui juris*, was the owner of Michael's Genuine Food and Drink restaurant ("the Restaurant") and was the sole

manager of MICHAELS GENUINE HOSPITALITY, LLC ("MGH"). Schwartz acted directly and indirectly in the interest of the Restaurant and MGH. Schwartz managed the Restaurant and had the power to direct employees' actions. Schwartz had management responsibilities, degree of control over the corporation's financial affairs and compensation practices, and was in a position to exert substantial authority over corporate policy relating to employee wages and whether to compensate (or not to compensate) employees at the Restaurant in accordance with the FLSA, making Defendant Michael Schwartz an employer pursuant to 29 USC § 203(d).

14. Defendant CHARLES BELL ("Bell"), an individual and *sui juris*, was the Director of Operations of MICHAELS GENUINE HOSPITALITY, LLC ("MGH"). Bell acted directly and indirectly in the interest of the Restaurant and MGH. Bell managed the Restaurant and had the power to direct employees' actions. Bell had management responsibilities, degree of control over the corporation's financial affairs and compensation practices, and was in a position to exert substantial authority over corporate policy relating to employee wages and whether to compensate (or not to compensate) employees at the Restaurant in accordance with the FLSA, making Defendant Charles Bell an employer pursuant to 29 USC § 203(d).

IV. COVERAGE

15. During the all material times, Defendant MICHAELS GENUINE HOSPITALITY, LLC was an enterprise covered by the FLSA, and as defined by 29 U.S.C. § 203(r) and 203 (s), in that it was engaged in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce within the meaning of § 29 U.S.C. 203(s)(1) of the Act, in that said the enterprise had employees engaged in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce, or employees handling, selling, or

otherwise working on goods or materials that have been moved in or produced for commerce by any person.

- 16. During the all material times, Defendants were employers as defined by 29 U.S.C. § 203(d).
- 17. During all material times, the enterprise has had an annual gross volume of sales made or business done of not less than \$500,000 (exclusive of excise taxes at the retail level which are separately stated).

V. FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 18. Defendants operate several restaurants in Miami-Dade County, including the restaurant known as Michael's Genuine Food and Drink, located at 130 N.E. 40th Street, Miami, Florida, Miami-Dade County, Florida, which is the restaurant at which Duque was employed.
- 19. Duque worked as a server for Defendants from January, 2013, approximately, to October, 2014.
- 20. During the Relevant Time Period, the applicable Florida minimum wage was \$7.31 per hour from November, 2011 through December 31, 2011, \$7.79 per hour from January 1, 2012 through December 31, 2012, \$7.93 per hour from January 1, 2013 through December 31, 2013, and \$7.93 per hour from January 1, 2014 to the present.
- 21. During the Relevant Time Period, the applicable Florida minimum overtime wage was \$10.965 per hour from November 2011 through December 31, 2011, \$11.685 per hour from January 1, 2012 through December 31, 2012, \$11.895 per hour from January 1, 2013 through December 31, 2013, and \$11.895 per hour from January 1, 2014 to the present.
- 22. Under the FLSA, if an employer satisfies the requirements of 29 U.S.C. 203(m), it may apply a portion of a tipped employee's tips (this portion known as the "tip credit") up to a maximum of \$3.02 per hour in Florida towards satisfaction of its obligation to pay its

- employees the minimum wage. This tip credit may apply to both regular and overtime hours worked. The burden is on the employer to prove they are entitled to apply the tip credit.
- 23. To utilize the tip credit under the FLSA, the employer must pay its tipped employees the proper minimum wage for tipped employees and allow its tipped employees to retain all the tips they receive, except when there is a valid arrangement for "pooling of tips among employees who customarily and regularly receive tips." 29 U.S.C. § 203(m). If an employer fails to satisfy either requirement, it may not take advantage of the tip credit and must pay its tipped employees the full applicable minimum wage.
- 24. In the instant case, Plaintiff and those similarly situated were required to share their tips with non-tipped employees such as kitchen employees and managers in an illegal tip-sharing scheme in violation of the Act.
- 25. In the instant case, Plaintiff and those similarly situated were required to pay for impermissible business expenses such as uniforms and inventory in violation of the Act.
- 26. In the instant case, Plaintiff and those similarly situated were paid less than the statutory minimum for tipped employees in violation of the Act.
- 27. As the result of the above violations, Defendants did not satisfy the requirements of 29 U.S.C. 203(m) during the Relevant Time Period and thus cannot apply Plaintiff's tips towards satisfaction of Defendants' minimum wage obligation, and must therefore pay Plaintiff, and those similarly situated, the tip credit claimed for each regular and overtime hour worked as repayment for the tip credit improperly credited to their wages.
- 28. In addition, as the result of the above violations in which Defendants did not satisfy the requirements of 29 U.S.C. 203(m) during the Relevant Time Period and thus cannot apply Plaintiff's tips towards satisfaction of Defendants' minimum wage obligation, Defendants

must therefore reimburse Plaintiff, and those similarly situated, for all tips taken in Defendants' illegal tip-sharing scheme.

- 29. In addition, Defendants failed to provide the notice required under the FLSA in informing Plaintiff of Defendants' intent to take a credit against their minimum wage obligations based on their tips, up to a maximum of \$3.02 per hour.
- 30. Because of the institution and maintenance of the illegal tip-sharing scheme and tip credit violations during the Relevant Time Period, Defendants willfully engaged in practices that denied Plaintiff the applicable minimum wage under the FLSA.
- 31. Plaintiff retained the undersigned counsel and agreed to pay a reasonable fee for all services rendered.

FLSA COLLECTIVE ACTION ALLEGATIONS

- 32. Plaintiff seeks certification of collective action from this court, for himself and those similarly situated, pursuant to 29 U.S.C. 216(b).
- 33. A district court, under the two-tiered approach used to determine whether an FLSA collective action is appropriate, first approves conditional certification upon a minimal showing that members of the proposed class are similarly situated. Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938, 29 U.S.C.A. § 201, et seq.
- 34. "[A]t the initial stage the district court's decision to certify a class is based primarily on pleadings and affidavits." *Anderson v. Cagle's, Inc.*, 488 F.3d 945, 953 (11th Cir. 2007), citing *Mooney v. Aramco Servs. Co.*, 54 F.3d 1207, 1213–14 (5th Cir. 1995). "Accordingly, at the initial stage, courts apply a 'fairly lenient standard' for determining whether the plaintiffs are truly similarly situated." *Anderson*, at 953, citing *Mooney*, at 1214. "At the first stage (typically in response to a motion to conditionally certify made prior to discovery) the court

utilizes a "fairly lenient" standard in light of the limited evidence then available." *Epps v. Oak St. Mortg., LLC*, 2006 WL 1460273, at *3 (M.D. Fla. May 22, 2006). "[A]t the second stage plaintiffs *may*—the ultimate decision rests largely within the district court's discretion—not succeed in maintaining a collective action under § 216(b) based solely on allegations and affidavits, depending upon the evidence presented by the party seeking decertification." *Id*.

- 35. Plaintiff and those similarly situated performed the same or similar jobs as one another in that they were employed as servers in Defendants' restaurant.
- 36. Plaintiff and those similarly situated were subjected to similar policies in that Defendants forced Plaintiff and those similarly situated to share their tips with non-tipped employees.
- 37. Plaintiff and those similarly situated were subjected to similar policies in that Defendants forced Plaintiff and those similarly situated to pay for impermissible business expenses with their wages.
- 38. Plaintiff and those similarly situated were subjected to similar policies in that Defendants forced Plaintiff and those similarly situated to work for overtime wages that were below the statutory minimum for tipped employees.
- 39. These policies or practices were applicable to Plaintiff and members of the collective action.

 Application of these policies or practices does not depend on the personal circumstances of Plaintiff or those joining this lawsuit. Rather, the same policies or practices, which resulted in the forced sharing of tips with non-tipped employees, apply to all members of the collective action. Accordingly, members of the collective action are properly defined as:

All persons who worked for Defendants as servers during the three (3) years preceding this lawsuit and who, as a result of Defendants' policy of requiring servers to share their tips with employees who do not

customarily and regularly receive tips and/or to pay for impermissible business expenses and/or were paid less than the statutory minimum overtime wage for tipped employees, earned less than the applicable minimum regular and/or overtime wage for one or more weeks during the Relevant Time Period.

40. Defendants did not act in good faith or reliance upon any of the following in formulating its pay practices: (a) case law, (b) the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 201, et seq., (c) Department of Labor

Wage & Hour Opinion Letters or (d) the Code of Federal Regulations.

- 41. Defendants knowingly, willfully, or with reckless disregard carried out their illegal pattern or practice of forcing Plaintiff and those similarly situated to participate in an illegal tip-sharing scheme, in which their tips were shared with non-tipped employees.
- 42. Defendants violated § 207(a)(l) and § 215(a)(2), by employing employees in an enterprise engaged in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce within the meaning of the FLSA as aforesaid, for one or more workweeks without compensating such employees for their work at the minimum wage rate for all regular hours worked and at the overtime rate of time-and-one-half for all hours worked in excess of 40 hours in a work week.
- 43. Thus, Defendants acted willfully by failing to pay Plaintiff, and those similarly situated, in accordance with the law.

COUNT I FAILURE TO PAY MINIMUM WAGE IN VIOLATION OF THE FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 201, et seq

44. Plaintiff, and those similarly situated, reincorporates and re-alleges paragraphs 1 through 43 as though set forth fully herein and further alleges as follows:

45. Defendants willfully and intentionally forced Plaintiff, and those similarly situated, to

participate in an illegal tip-sharing scheme in which tipped employees shared their tips with

non-tipped employees.

46. Defendants willfully and intentionally forced Plaintiff, and those similarly situated, to pay for

impermissible business expenses, including missing inventory, with their own wages.

47. Defendants did not provide Plaintiff with the requisite notice for instituting the tip credit

under the FLSA.

48. By forcing Plaintiff and those similarly situated to share tips with non-tipped employees, and

pay for impermissible business expenses, Defendants cannot claim the tip credit and

therefore owe Plaintiff and Class the full minimum wage for each hour worked up to forty

hours in a week.

49. As a direct and proximate result of forcing Plaintiff and those similarly situated to share tips

with non-tipped employees, Plaintiff, and those similarly situated, have been damaged for

one or more weeks of work with Defendants.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff ANDRES DUQUE, on behalf of himself and those similarly

situated, demands judgment in his favor and against Defendants as follows:

a) Award to Plaintiff, and those similarly situated, for payment of all regular hours at the

full minimum wage due them for the hours worked by them which have not been

properly compensated;

b) Award to Plaintiff, and those similarly situated, for payment of all tips taken and

distributed in Defendants' illegal tip-sharing scheme;

- c) Award to Plaintiff, and those similarly situated, liquidated damages equal to the payment of all regular hours at the full minimum wage owed under the FLSA or, if liquidated damages are not awarded, then prejudgment interest;
- d) Award to Plaintiff, and those similarly situated, reasonable attorneys' fees and costs;
- e) Judgment entered in favor of Plaintiff, and those similarly situated, against Defendants, MICHAELS GENUINE HOSPITALITY, LLC, MICHAEL SCHWARTZ, and CHARLES BELL for payment of all regular hours at the full minimum wage for which Plaintiff, and others similarly situated, were not compensated, liquidated damages, attorneys' fees, costs, and all interest allowed by law; and
- f) Award such other and further relief as this Court may deem just and proper.

COUNT II FAILURE TO PAY OVERTIME WAGE COMPENSATION IN VIOLATION OF THE FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 201, et seq

- 50. Plaintiff, and those similarly situated, reincorporates and re-alleges paragraphs 1 through 43 as though set forth fully herein and further alleges as follows:
- 51. Defendants willfully and intentionally forced Plaintiff, and those similarly situated, to participate in an illegal tip-sharing scheme in which tipped employees shared their tips with non-tipped employees.
- 52. By forcing Plaintiff and those similarly situated to share tips with non-tipped employees,

 Defendants cannot claim the tip credit and therefore owe Plaintiff and Class one-and-a-half
 times the minimum wage for each hour worked in excess of forty hours in a week.

53. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' forcing Plaintiff and those similarly situated

to share tips with non-tipped employees, Plaintiff, and those similarly situated, have been

damaged for one or more weeks of work with Defendants.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff ANDRES DUQUE, on behalf of himself and those similarly

situated, demands judgment in his favor and against Defendants as follows:

a) Award to Plaintiff, and those similarly situated, for payment of all overtime hours at

one-and-one-half times the regular rate of pay due them for the hours worked by them

which have not been properly compensated;

b) Award to Plaintiff, and those similarly situated, for payment of all tips taken and

distributed in Defendants' illegal tip-sharing scheme;

c) Award to Plaintiff, and those similarly situated, liquidated damages equal to the

payment for all overtime hours owed under the FLSA or, if liquidated damages are

not awarded, then prejudgment interest;

d) Award to Plaintiff, and those similarly situated, reasonable attorneys' fees and costs;

e) Judgment entered in favor of Plaintiff, and those similarly situated, against

Defendants, MICHAELS GENUINE HOSPITALITY, LLC, MICHAEL

SCHWARTZ, and CHARLES BELL for payment of all overtime hours for which

Plaintiff, and others similarly situated, were not compensated, liquidated damages,

attorneys' fees, costs, and all interest allowed by law; and

f) Award such other and further relief as this Court may deem just and proper.

COUNT III
DECLARATION OF RIGHTS

54. Plaintiff reincorporates and re-alleges paragraphs 1 through 43 as though set forth fully

herein, and further alleges as follows:

55. Plaintiff, and those similarly situated, and Defendants have a pending dispute under the

FLSA, which this Court has jurisdiction to decide pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 1331. The Court

also had jurisdiction over Plaintiff's, and those similarly situated, request for a declaration of

rights pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §§ 2201, 2202.

56. Defendants did not rely on a good faith defense in forcing Plaintiff, and those similarly

situated, to share tips with non-tipped employees, pay for impermissible business expenses,

or to work for less than the statutory minimum overtime wage for tipped employees, under

the FLSA.

57. A declaration of rights would serve the useful purpose of clarifying and settling the legal

relations at issue.

58. The entry of a declaration of the rights of the parties herein would afford relief from

uncertainty, insecurity, and controversy giving rise to this proceeding as affecting Plaintiff,

and those similarly situated, from Defendants, now and in the future.

WHEREFORE Plaintiff ANDRES DUQUE, and those similarly situated, demand the

entry of judgment in their favor and against Defendants MICHAELS GENUINE

HOSPITALITY, LLC, MICHAEL SCHWARTZ, and CHARLES BELL, jointly and severally,

as follows:

a) Issue declaratory judgment that Defendants' acts, policies, practices and procedures

complained of herein violated provisions of the FLSA;

- b) Enjoin Defendants from further violations of the FLSA;
- c) Award Plaintiff reasonable attorneys' fees and costs;
- d) Award such other and further relief as this Court may deem just and proper.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff demands a trial by jury of all issues so triable.

Respectfully submitted this 24th day of October, 2014.

By: s/Robert W. Brock II

Robert W. Brock II, Esq.
Florida Bar No. 75320
robert@ kuvinlaw.com
legal@kuvinlaw.com
Law Office of Lowell J. Kuvin
17 East Flagler Street, Suite 223
Miami, Florida 33131
Tel.: 305.358.6800

Fax: 305.358.6800 Fax: 305.358.6808 Attorney for Plaintiff

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on October 23, 2014, I electronically filed the foregoing document via CM/ECF. I also certify that the foregoing document is being served this day on all counsel of record or pro se parties identified in the attached Service in the manner specified, either via transmission of Notices of Electronic Filing generated by CM/ECF, or in some other manner for those counsel or parties who are not authorized to receive electronic Notices of Electronic Filing.

By: s/Robert W. Brock II

Robert W. Brock II, Esq. Florida Bar No. 75320 robert@kuvinlaw.com legal@kuvinlaw.com Law Office of Lowell J. Kuvin 17 East Flagler Street, Suite 223 Miami, Florida 33131

Tel.: 305.358.6800 Fax: 305.358.6808 Attorney for Plaintiff

DUQUE, et al v. MICHAELS GENUINE HOSPITALITY, LLC, et al

Service List

Robert W. Brock II, Esq.

Law Office of Lowell J. Kuvin 17 East Flagler Street, Suite 223 Miami, Florida 33131

Tel.: 305.358.6800 Fax: 305.358.6808

Primary Email: robert@kuvinlaw.com
Secondary Email: legal@kuvinlaw.com

Attorney for Plaintiffs

JS 44 (Rev. 12/12)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

ANDRES DUQUE, on his	own behalf	and on	behalf o	of others s	imilarly
situated,					

(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Miami-Dade
(EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)

Robert W. Brock II, Esq., Law office of Lowell J. Kuvin, LLC 17 East Flagler Street, Suite 223, Miami, Florida 33131 305.358.6800

MICHAELS GENUINE HOSPITALITY, LLC a Florida for-profit Corporation, MICHAEL SCHWARTZ, an Individual, and CHARLES BELL, an individual,

County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Miami-Dade

(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)

NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.

Attorneys (If Known)

II. BASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (Place an "X" in C	One Box Only)	I. CITIZENSHIP OF F	PRINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintij	
			(For Diversity Cases Only) and One Box for Defendant)			
☐ 1 U.S. Government	★ 3 Federal Question ■ 1		P	TF DEF	PTF DEF	
Plaintiff	(U.S. Government	Not a Party)	Citizen of This State	7 1 ☐ 1 Incorporated or Pr of Business In T		
7 2 U.S. Government	☐ 4 Diversity		Citizen of Another State	1 2	Deinsinal Diagram	
Defendant		ip of Parties in Item III)	Chizen of Another State	of Business In		
				of Business III	tioner state	
			Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	1 3 ☐ 3 Foreign Nation	76 76	
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	(Place an "X" in One Box Or	1/1)	Toroign country			
CONTRACT		ORTS	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES	
☐ 110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL INJURY	7 625 Drug Related Seizure	☐ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158	☐ 375 False Claims Act	
☐ 120 Marine	☐ 310 Airplane	☐ 365 Personal Injury -	of Property 21 USC 881	☐ 423 Withdrawal	☐ 400 State Reapportionment	
☐ 130 Miller Act	☐ 315 Airplane Product	Product Liability	☐ 690 Other	28 USC 157	☐ 410 Antitrust	
☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument	Liability	☐ 367 Health Care/	1 (Section 1) (Sec		☐ 430 Banks and Banking	
☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	☐ 320 Assault, Libel &	Pharmaceutical		PROPERTY RIGHTS	☐ 450 Commerce	
& Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act		Personal Injury	1	☐ 820 Copyrights	☐ 460 Deportation	
☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted	☐ 330 Federal Employers' Liability	Product Liability	1	□ 830 Patent	☐ 470 Racketeer Influenced and	
Student Loans	☐ 340 Marine	☐ 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product	1	☐ 840 Trademark	Corrupt Organizations	
(Excludes Veterans)	☐ 345 Marine Product	Liability	LABOR	SOCIAL SECURITY	☐ 480 Consumer Credit ☐ 490 Cable/Sat TV	
☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment	Liability	PERSONAL PROPERTY		☐ 861 HIA (1395ff)	☐ 850 Securities/Commodities/	
of Veteran's Benefits	☐ 350 Motor Vehicle	☐ 370 Other Fraud	Act	☐ 862 Black Lung (923)	Exchange	
☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits	☐ 355 Motor Vehicle	☐ 371 Truth in Lending	☐ 720 Labor/Management	☐ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))	☐ 890 Other Statutory Actions	
☐ 190 Other Contract	Product Liability	¬ 380 Other Personal	Relations	☐ 864 SSID Title XVI	☐ 891 Agricultural Acts	
☐ 195 Contract Product Liability	☐ 360 Other Personal	Property Damage	☐ 740 Railway Labor Act	□ 865 RSI (405(g))	¬ 893 Environmental Matters	
☐ 196 Franchise	Injury	□ 385 Property Damage	☐ 751 Family and Medical		→ 895 Freedom of Information	
	☐ 362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice	Product Liability	Leave Act		Act	
REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITIONS	☐ 790 Other Labor Litigation ☐ 791 Employee Retirement	FEDERAL TAX SUITS	☐ 896 Arbitration ☐ 899 Administrative Procedure	
☐ 210 Land Condemnation	☐ 440 Other Civil Rights	Habeas Corpus:	Income Security Act	☐ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff	Act/Review or Appeal of	
☐ 220 Foreclosure	☐ 441 Voting	☐ 463 Alien Detainee	meome security //er	or Defendant)	Agency Decision	
☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	☐ 442 Employment	☐ 510 Motions to Vacate	1	☐ 871 IRS—Third Party	☐ 950 Constitutionality of	
☐ 240 Torts to Land	☐ 443 Housing/	Sentence	1	26 USC 7609	State Statutes	
☐ 245 Tort Product Liability	Accommodations	☐ 530 General		U 500 - 0.00-2007 U 27A0-0035 V	And Andrews Co.	
☐ 290 All Other Real Property	☐ 445 Amer. w/Disabilities -	☐ 535 Death Penalty	IMMIGRATION			
	Employment 3 446 Amer. w/Disabilities -	Other:	☐ 462 Naturalization Application	1	l.	
	Other	☐ 540 Mandamus & Other ☐ 550 Civil Rights	☐ 465 Other Immigration			
	☐ 448 Education	☐ 555 Prison Condition	Actions			
	- TW Education	☐ 560 Civil Detainee -				
		Conditions of				
		Confinement				
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in	One Box Only)		-			
		Remanded from 4	Reinstated or	erred from	ict	
Proceeding Stat	te Court	Appellate Court	Reopened Anothe (specify)	er District Litigation		
	Cite the U.S. Civil Sta	tute under which you are fil	ing (Do not cite jurisdictional state	tutes unless diversity):		
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO			minimum wages under F	LSA		
vii exest of Aeric	Brief description of ca	use:				
VII. REQUESTED IN	☑ CHECK IF THIS	IS A CLASS ACTION	DEMAND \$	CHECK YES only	if demanded in complaint:	
COMPLAINT:	UNDER RULE 2.	3, F.R.Cv.P.		JURY DEMAND:	X Yes □ No	
VIII. RELATED CASE	C(S)					
IF ANY	(See instructions):	JUDGE		DOCKET NUMBER		
Anna Anna Anna Anna Anna Anna Anna Anna				DOCKET NUMBER		
DATE		SIGNATURE OF ATTORY	NEY OF RECORD			
10/24/2014			7 2			
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		/)				
RECEIPT # AM	IOUNT	APPLYING IFP	JUDGE	MAG. JUD	OGE	

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

	for the			
Southern Di	istrict of Florida			
ANDRES DUQUE, on his own behalf and on behalf of others similarly situated,)))			
Plaintiff(s) v. MICHAELS GENUINE HOSPITALITY, LLC, a Florida for-profit corporation, MICHAEL SCHWARTZ, an individual, and CHARLES BELL, an individual, Defendant(s))) Civil Action No. 14CV23965)))))			
SUMMONS I	N A CIVIL ACTION			
To: (Defendant's name and address) MICHAELS GENUINE HO 130 NE 40th Street Miami, Florida 33137				
A lawsuit has been filed against you.				
Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are: Robert W. Brock II, Esq. The Law Office of Lowell J. Kuvin, LLC 17 East Flagler Street, Suite 223 Miami, Florida 33131 Tel: 305.358.6800 Fax: 305.358.6808				
If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.				
	CLERK OF COURT			
Date:	Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk			

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No.

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

	This summons for (na	me of individual and title, if an	y)		
was red	ceived by me on (date)		<u> </u>		
	☐ I personally served	d the summons on the ind	vidual at (place)		
			on (date)	; or	
	☐ I left the summons	s at the individual's reside	nce or usual place of abode with (no	ame)	
		,	a person of suitable age and discret	tion who resides the	re,
	on (date)	, and mailed a	copy to the individual's last known	address; or	
	☐ I served the summ	ons on (name of individual)			, who is
	designated by law to	accept service of process	on behalf of (name of organization)		
			on (date)	; or	
	☐ I returned the sum	mons unexecuted because			; or
	☐ Other (<i>specify</i>):				
	My fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a	a total of \$0	.00
	I declare under penal	ty of perjury that this info	rmation is true.		
Date:					
			Server's signa	uture	
		_	Printed name an	nd title	
		_	Server's addr	ress	

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Southern I	District of Florida			
ANDRES DUQUE, on his own behalf and on behalf of others similarly situated,)))			
Plaintiff(s) V. MICHAELS GENUINE HOSPITALITY, LLC, a Florida for-profit corporation, MICHAEL SCHWARTZ, an individual, and CHARLES BELL, an individual, Defendant(s)	Civil Action No. 14CV23965 Civil Action No. 14CV23965			
SUMMONS	IN A CIVIL ACTION			
To: (Defendant's name and address) MICHAEL SCHWARTZ MICHAELS GENUINE H 130 NE 40th Street Miami, Florida 33137	HOSPITALITY, LLC			
A lawsuit has been filed against you.				
Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are: Robert W. Brock II, Esq. The Law Office of Lowell J. Kuvin, LLC 17 East Flagler Street, Suite 223 Miami, Florida 33131 Tel: 305.358.6800 Fax: 305.358.6808				
If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.				
	CLERK OF COURT			
Date:	Complete of Clark on Demote Clark			
	Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk			

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No.

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

was rec	This summons for (naceived by me on (date)	ame of individual and title, if an	· · · -		
	☐ I personally serve	ed the summons on the ind	ividual at (place)		
			on (date)	; or	
	☐ I left the summon	as at the individual's reside	ence or usual place of abode with (name)		
		,	a person of suitable age and discretion	who resides the	ere,
	on (date)	, and mailed a	copy to the individual's last known addr	ress; or	
	☐ I served the sumn	nons on (name of individual)			, who is
	designated by law to	o accept service of process	on behalf of (name of organization)		_
			on (date)	; or	
	☐ I returned the sun	nmons unexecuted because	2		; or
	☐ Other (specify):				
	My fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a tot	al of \$0).00 .
	I declare under pena	lty of perjury that this info	rmation is true.		
Date:		_			
			Server's signature		
		_	Printed name and titl	'e	
		_	Server's address		

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Southern D	Pistrict of Florida			
ANDRES DUQUE, on his own behalf and on behalf of others similarly situated, Plaintiff(s) V. MICHAELS GENUINE HOSPITALITY, LLC, a Florida for-profit corporation, MICHAEL SCHWARTZ, an individual, and CHARLES BELL, an individual, Defendant(s))))) Civil Action No. 14CV23965)))			
SUMMONS	IN A CIVIL ACTION			
To: (Defendant's name and address) CHARLES BELL MICHAELS GENUINE H 130 NE 40th Street Miami, Florida 33137	OSPITALITY, LLC			
A lawsuit has been filed against you.				
Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are: Robert W. Brock II, Esq. The Law Office of Lowell J. Kuvin, LLC 17 East Flagler Street, Suite 223 Miami, Florida 33131 Tel: 305.358.6800 Fax: 305.358.6808				
If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.				
	CLERK OF COURT			
Date:	Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk			

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No.

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

was ra	This summons for (n ceived by me on (date)	ame of individual and title, if ar	ny)			
was re	cerved by the on (date)		<u> </u>			
	☐ I personally serve	ed the summons on the ind	lividual at (place)			
			on (date)	; or		
	☐ I left the summons at the individual's residence or usual place of abode with (name)					
		,	, a person of suitable age and discretion who res	sides there,		
	on (date)	, and mailed a	copy to the individual's last known address; or			
	☐ I served the summ	mons on (name of individual)		, who is		
	designated by law to	o accept service of process	s on behalf of (name of organization)			
			on (date)	; or		
	☐ I returned the sun	nmons unexecuted because	e	; or		
	☐ Other (specify):					
	My fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of \$	0.00		
	I declare under pena	lty of perjury that this info	ormation is true.			
Date:						
			Server's signature			
		-	Printed name and title			
		_	Server's address			

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc: